IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7701 OF 2005

Smt Malti R. Shah ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Shri Shailesh Kumar the Applicant.
Shri U.V.Nikam, A.P.P. for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 02, 2005.

P.C.:

1. As alternative efficacious remedy available to the the Applicants by way of revision, in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K.Jain & Ors. Vs. Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778,** no interference is warranted.

2. This Application is disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

3. The Applicants to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the Applicants. Revision Application, if file within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

4. In case, there is any delay in filing Revision

Application, Court will take into account the fact that the Applicants had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

5. Application disposed of on the above terms.

A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.